Board of Zoning Appeals Public Hearing - Deyling November 25, 2020 Page 1 of 6

Chairman J. Calabro called the November 25, 2020 Board of Zoning Appeals Public Hearing to order at 7:20 p.m.

Ch. Calabro noted that this meeting is being taped for transcription purposes only and the written minutes and attachments, if any, will serve as the official record of this meeting.

Ch. Calabro stated that any Board member that has any monetary interest or has a conflict including exparte communication should disclose at this time.

Roll found: Calabro, Hoop, Zeleznak, Mainzer, Budd. In the audience: Zoning Inspector Wilson, Trustee Schulte and Alternate Wolny.

Ch. Calabro stated that out of an abundance of caution, and under the current circumstances, the meeting is being conducted following the rules of social distancing and the meeting will be conducted as briefly and to the point as possible, and it would be appreciated if audience members could keep comments to the point and pertinent to this meeting.

The Recording Secretary read the legal ad and confirmed that the legal notice was mailed to the applicant and adjacent property owners.

Ch. Calabro stated that the Hinckley Township Board of Zoning Appeals acts within the authority of Section 519 of the Ohio Revised Code and exercises its power as provided under Chapters 7 and 13 of the Hinckley Township Zoning Regulations. All public hearings are open to the public. All persons wishing to testify must do so from the podium, must identify themselves and give their address and must be sworn in. Evidence and testimony must be pertinent to the hearing. It is the Chairperson's discretion to limit personal comments, personal attacks, opinions, editorializing, and/or repetitious statements or testimony or evidenced previously given. Disruptive persons will lose their right to remain at the hearing. Personal attacks will not be tolerated. Any person may request a schedule or an agenda be mailed to them, providing a self-addressed and stamped envelope be included with request.

Ch. Calabro stated that this is a hearing for a request submitted by applicant Jessica Deyling, property owner of 2572 Kellogg Road, Hinckley, Ohio (PPN 01603D38002) requesting a variance to construct an attached garage, at the stated address, in a location that does not meet the minimum side yard setback of 15 feet required by the Hinckley Zoning Regulations.

Ch. Calabro noted that the applicant has submitted an application to this Board of Zoning Appeals and has also submitted certain documents in support of his application.

Board of Zoning Appeals Public Hearing - Deyling November 25, 2020 Page 2 of 6

Ch. Calabro stated that notice of the application was properly given in local newspapers, and the application and supporting documentation has been available for public review and comments.

Ch. Calabro asked the Recording Secretary to poll the Board as to whether they received the packet of information and inspected the property at 2572 Kellogg Drive, Hinckley, Ohio 44233.

Response: Calabro – yes and yes inspected on 11/21/2020, Hoop – yes and yes inspected on 11/21/2020, Zeleznak – yes and yes inspected on 11/21/2020, Mainzer – yes and yes inspected on 11/21/2020.

Ch. Calabro noted that each member of the Board of Zoning Appeals has been provided a copy of the application and supporting documentation.

Ch. Calabro asked the Recording Secretary if there were any letters, phone calls or emails received and there were none.

Ch. Calabro noted for the record that non-written communication or written communication made by known or unknown persons not under oath and not properly given during the hearing are not accepted by the Board of Zoning Appeals as testimony.

Ch. Calabro stated that the Board has the power to grant an applicant's request for variance.

Ch. Calabro stated that all people that wish to give testimony will be sworn in individually and testimonies, if any, shall be given from the podium.

# Jessica Deyling, 2572 Kellogg Road, Hinckley, OH.

Ms. Jessica Deyling, applicant, was sworn in accordingly.

Ch. Calabro asked Ms. Deyling to provide to the Board a brief summary of her request.

Ms. Deyling stated she purchased the home through an auction in 2017. Ms. Deyling stated the house needs a lot of updating but they are doing a little at a time, their Phase I is to build a garage that will connect to the house. Ms. Deyling stated they love the neighborhood and the area and this is where they want to stay.

Ch. Calabro asked Ms. Deyling if the proposed garage will be 7 feet or 6 ½ feet from the property line and Ms. Deyling stated it will be 7 feet.

Board of Zoning Appeals Public Hearing - Deyling November 25, 2020 Page 3 of 6

Ch. Calabro asked if the neighbor was notified and Ms. Deyling stated yes, their neighbor to the right, Eric, is aware of the project and doesn't mind that it's 7 feet from the property line.

Ch. Calabro asked if the proposed new garage will be connected to the house and Ms. Deyling stated yes. Ch. Calabro asked what the purpose of the proposed new garage is and Ms. Deyling stated that the current garage was built in the 1940s and it's very small, they can barely fit one car, so they would like a bigger garage to fit their cars and to have more storage space. Also, they would like to have the garage connected to the house so they don't have to walk outside with groceries, etc.

Mr. Zeleznak asked Ms. Deyling if she's comfortable that it is a true 7 foot variance and Ms. Deyling stated yes, they had a survey done.

Mr. Budd asked if what they are doing is essentially replacing an existing garage with a bigger garage. Ms. Deyling stated yes. Mr. Budd asked if any information regarding this lot being non-conforming had been disclosed at the auction and Ms. Deyling stated no.

Mr. Hoop asked if Ms. Deyling was aware of the zoning restrictions when she purchased the property and Ms. Deyling stated no.

Ch. Calabro and the other board members had no further questions.

Ch. Calabro stated that any person adversely affected by a decision of the Board of Zoning Appeals may appeal to the Court of Common Pleas of Medina County on the ground the decision was unreasonable or unlawful and will have 30 days from the date of this meeting to appeal.

There being no further testimony offered, Ch. Calabro asked for a review of the Duncan Factors.

**Factor #1:** Will the property yield a reasonable return or can there be a beneficial use of the property without the variance?

Vote:

Calabro – Yes Hoop – Yes Zeleznak – Yes Mainzer – Yes Budd – Yes Board of Zoning Appeals Public Hearing - Deyling November 25, 2020 Page 4 of 6

## Factor #2: Is the variance substantial?

Vote:

Calabro –Yes

Hoop – Yes

Zeleznak – Yes

Mainzer – Yes

Budd – Yes

**Factor #3:** Will the essential character of the neighborhood be substantially altered or will adjoining properties suffer a substantial detriment if this variance is granted?

Vote:

Calabro – No

Hoop - No

Zeleznak – No

Mainzer – No

Budd – No

**Factor #4:** Will the variance adversely affect the delivery of governmental services such as fire or ambulance?

Vote:

Calabro – No

Hoop - No

Zeleznak – No

Mainzer – No

Budd – No

## Factor #5

Did the property owner purchase the property with knowledge of the zoning restrictions?

Vote:

Calabro – No

Hoop - No

Zeleznak – No

Mainzer - No

Budd - No

Board of Zoning Appeals Public Hearing - Deyling November 25, 2020 Page 5 of 6

### Factor #6

Can the problem be solved by some manner other than the granting of a variance?

Vote:

Calabro – No Hoop – No Zeleznak – No Mainzer – No Budd – No

### Factor #7

Does the variance preserve the "spirit and intent" of the zoning requirement and will "substantial justice" be done by granting the variance?

Vote:

Calabro – Yes Hoop – Yes Zeleznak – Yes Mainzer – Yes Budd – Yes

Ch. Calabro asked for a motion. Mr. Hoop made a motion to approve a variance (AP0249) submitted by applicant Jessica Deyling, property owner of 2572 Kellogg Road, Hinckley, Ohio (Permanent Parcel 01603D38002) requesting a variance to construct an attached garage at the stated address in a location that does not meet the minimum side yard setback of 15 feet required by Hinckley Zoning Regulations. Zoning Reference Chapter 6 subsection 6R1.4.K.1.d. The building will be located 7' from the property line for a variance of 8 feet.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Zeleznak.

Ch. Calabro explained the voting process to the applicant as follows: Yes, simple majority with a quorum present is in favor of the applicant and a No, simple majority, or a tie vote denies the applicant's request. If the vote is favorable to the applicant, the applicant has one year from the date of the hearing to begin construction or to act on the approved request.

Vote: Ch. Calabro – yes; Hoop – yes, Zeleznak– yes, Mainzer – yes, Budd – yes

Board of Zoning Appeals Public Hearing - Deyling November 25, 2020 Page 6 of 6

Ch. Calabro stated the applicant has one year from the date of this hearing to begin construction or to act on his or her approved request. Anyone adversely affected by this decision of the Board of Zoning Appeals has 30 days from this evening to file suit.

Ch. Calabro stated that the variance passed 5-0

The Board of Zoning Appeals Decision form was signed and a copy given to the applicant.

Ch. Calabro asked for a motion to adjourn the Public Hearing. Ms. Mainzer moved and Mr. Budd seconded. All in favor.

The November 25, 2020 Board of Zoning Appeals Public Hearing adjourned at 7:37 p.m.

Minutes by: Judi Stupka, Recording Secretary

Minutes Approved:	, 2020
Josephine Calabro, Chairperson	Jeff Hoop, Vice-Chairperson
Dave Zeleznak, Member	Julie Mainzer, Member
Bill Budd, Member	<u></u>